Combinatorial and Computational Geometry
MSRI Publications
Volume **52**, 2005

Inequalities for Zonotopes

RICHARD EHRENBORG

Dedicated to Louis Billera on his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. We present two classes of linear inequalities that the flag f-vectors of zonotopes satisfy. These inequalities strengthen inequalities for polytopes obtained by the lifting technique of Ehrenborg.

1. Introduction

The systematic study of flag f-vectors of polytopes was initiated by Bayer and Billera [1985]. Billera then suggested the study of flag f-vectors of zonotopes; see the dissertation of his student Liu [1995]. The essential computational results of the field appeared in two papers by Billera, Ehrenborg and Readdy [Billera et al. 1997; 1998]. Here we present two classes of linear inequalities for the flag f-vectors of zonotopes. These classes are motivated by our recent results for polytopes [Ehrenborg 2005].

The flag f-vector of a convex polytope contains all the enumerative incidence information between the faces of the polytope. For an n-dimensional polytope the flag f-vector consists of 2^n entries; in other words, the flag f-vector lies in the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$. Bayer and Billera [1985] showed that the flag vectors of n-dimensional polytopes span a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$, called the generalized Dehn–Sommerville subspace and denoted by GDSS_n. Bayer and Klapper [1991] proved that GDSS_n is naturally isomorphic to the n-th homogeneous component of the noncommutative ring $\mathbb{R}\langle \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}\rangle$, where the grading is given by $\deg \boldsymbol{c} = 1$ and $\deg \boldsymbol{d} = 2$. Hence, the flag f-vector of a polytope P can be encoded by a noncommutative polynomial $\Psi(P)$ in the variables $\boldsymbol{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$, called the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index.

The next essential step is to consider linear inequalities that the flag f-vector of polytopes satisfy. The known linear inequalities are: the nonnegativity of the

Research partially supported by National Science Foundation grant 0200624.

toric g-vector [Kalai 1987; Karu 2001; Stanley 1987], inequalities obtained by the Kalai convolution [Kalai 1988], and that the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index is minimized coefficientwise on the n-dimensional simplex Σ_n [Billera and Ehrenborg 2000]. Recently we introduced in [Ehrenborg 2005] a lifting technique that allows one to use lower dimensional inequalities to obtain higher-dimensional inequalities. Here is a special case of this lifting technique:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let u, q and v be three $\boldsymbol{cd}$-monomials such that the sum of the degrees of u, q and v is n and the degree of q is k. Let Δ_q denote the coefficient of the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-monomial q in the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index of a k-dimensional simplex Σ_k. Then for all n-dimensional polytopes P we have*

$$\left\langle u \cdot (q - \Delta_q \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^k) \cdot v \mid \Psi(P) \right\rangle \geq 0,$$

where the bracket $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}\langle \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d} \rangle$.

The purpose of this paper is to improve Theorem 1.1 for zonotopes.

Recall that a zonotope is a polytope obtained as the Minkowski sum of line segments. The flag f-vectors of n-dimensional zonotopes lie in the subspace GDSS_n. Billera, Ehrenborg and Readdy [Billera et al. 1998] proved that they do not lie in any proper subspace of GDSS_n. They also showed that among all n-dimensional zonotopes (and more generally, the dual of the lattice of regions of oriented matroids), the n-dimensional cube minimizes the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index coefficientwise [Billera et al. 1997]. This is the zonotopal analogue of Stanley's Gorenstein* lattice conjecture [Stanley 1994b, Conjecture 2.7].

We continue this vein of research by introducing further classes of linear inequalities for flag f-vectors of zonotopes. We develop two sharper versions of the inequality appearing in Theorem 1.1. For an n-dimensional zonotope we show that the expression in Theorem 1.1 is at least the value obtained by the n-dimensional cube C_n; see Theorem 3.1. The second improvement is the case when $u = 1$. We can replace the factor Δ_q by a larger factor, the coefficient of q in the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index of the k-dimensional cube C_k; see Theorem 3.6.

2. Preliminaries

For standard terminology for posets, see [Stanley 1986]. A partially ordered set (poset) P is ranked if there is a rank function $\rho : P \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that when x is covered by y then $\rho(y) = \rho(x) + 1$. The poset P is graded of rank n if it is ranked and has a minimal element $\hat{0}$ and a maximal element $\hat{1}$ such that $\rho(\hat{0}) = 0$ and $\rho(\hat{1}) = n$. Define the interval $[x, y]$ to be the subposet $\{z \in P : x \leq z \leq y\}$. Observe that the interval $[x, y]$ is also a graded poset of rank $\rho(y) - \rho(x)$.

Let P be a graded poset of rank $n+1$. For $S = \{s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k\}$ a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, define f_S to be the number of chains $\hat{0} = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{k+1} = \hat{1}$, where the rank of the element x_i is s_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$. These 2^n values constitute the *flag f-vector* of the poset P. Define the *flag h-vector* of P by the two equivalent relations $h_S = \sum_{T\subseteq S}(-1)^{|S-T|} f_T$ and $f_S = \sum_{T\subseteq S} h_T$. There has been a lot of recent work in understanding the flag f-vectors of graded posets and Eulerian posets. For example, see [Bayer 2001; Bayer and Hetyei 2001; Billera and Hetyei 2000].

For S a subset of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ define the monomial $u_S = u_1u_2\cdots u_n$, where $u_i = \boldsymbol{a}$ if $i \notin S$ and $u_i = \boldsymbol{b}$ if $i \in S$. Define the ***ab**-index* of a graded poset P of rank $n+1$ to be the sum

$$\Psi(P) = \sum_S h_S \cdot u_S.$$

A poset P is Eulerian if every interval $[x,y]$, where $x \neq y$, has the same number of elements of odd rank as the number of elements of even rank. This condition states that every interval $[x,y]$ satisfies the Euler–Poincaré relation. The condition of being Eulerian is equivalent to the condition that the Möbius function $\mu(x,y)$ is $(-1)^{\rho(x,y)}$. The two main examples of Eulerian posets are the strong Bruhat order and face lattices of convex polytopes.

The following result was conjectured by Fine and proved by Bayer and Klapper [1991]. It states that the generalized Dehn–Sommerville subspace GDSS_n is naturally isomorphic to the space of **cd**-polynomials of degree n.

THEOREM 2.1. *The **ab**-index of an Eulerian poset P, $\Psi(P)$, can be written in terms of $\boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{a}$.*

When $\Psi(P)$ is expressed in terms of $\boldsymbol{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}$ it is called the ***cd**-index* of the poset P. There exist several proofs of this result in the literature; see [Bayer and Klapper 1991; Billera and Liu 2000; Ehrenborg 2001; Ehrenborg and Readdy 2002; Stanley 1994a]. The **cd**-index has been extraordinarily useful for flag vector computations; see [Bayer and Ehrenborg 2000; Billera et al. 1997; Ehrenborg and Readdy 1998]. Moreover, this basis is now emerging as a key tool for obtaining linear inequalities for the entries of the flag f-vector; see [Billera and Ehrenborg 2000; Ehrenborg 2005; Ehrenborg and Fox 2003; Stanley 1994a].

Define an inner product $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}\langle\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d}\rangle$ by $\langle u\,|\,v\rangle = \delta_{u,v}$ for all **cd**-monomials u and v, and extend this relation by linearity. Using this notation any linear inequality on the flag f-vector of an n-dimensional polytope can be expressed as $\langle H\,|\,\Psi(P)\rangle \geq 0$, where H is homogeneous **cd**-polynomial of degree n.

In the remainder of this section we will focus upon the **cd**-index of zonotopes. However, all the results carry over to oriented matroids. In order to keep the statements of the results explicit, we will use the geometric language of zonotopes and their hyperplane arrangements.

A zonotope Z is a polytope obtained by the Minkowski sum of line segments, that is, $Z = [\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{v}_1] + \cdots + [\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{v}_m]$. For each line segment $[\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{v}_i]$ let H_i be the hyperplane through the origin that is orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{v}_i$. The collection of these hyperplanes $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1,\ldots,H_m\}$ is the central hyperplane arrangement associated to the zonotope Z. The intersection lattice L of the arrangement $\mathcal{H}$

is the collection of all the intersections of the hyperplanes $H_1, \dots, H_m$ ordered by reverse inclusion.

Let ω be the linear map from $\mathbb{R}\langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \rangle$ to $\mathbb{R}\langle \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d} \rangle$ defined on an $\boldsymbol{ab}$-monomial by replacing each occurrence of $\boldsymbol{ab}$ with $2\boldsymbol{d}$ and then replacing the remaining variables by $\boldsymbol{c}$. Here is the fundamental theorem for computing the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index of a zonotope:

THEOREM 2.2 [Billera et al. 1997]. *Let Z be a zonotope (and more generally, let Z be the dual of the lattice of regions of an oriented matroid). Let L be the intersection lattice of the associated central hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{H}$ and $\Psi(L)$ the $\boldsymbol{ab}$-index of the lattice L. Then the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index of the zonotope and the sum of the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-indices of all the vertex figures of the zonotope are given by*

$$\Psi(Z) = \omega(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \Psi(L)),$$

$$\sum_v \Psi(Z/v) = 2 \cdot \omega(\Psi(L)),$$

where v ranges over all vertices of the zonotope Z.

The first of these identities is [Billera et al. 1997, Theorem 3.1]. The second follows from the first by using the linear map h defined in Section 8 of the same reference.

It remains to compute the $\boldsymbol{ab}$-index of the intersection lattice L. We do this using R-labelings. For more details, see [Billera et al. 1997, Section 7] and [Björner 1980; Stanley 1974; 1986]. Linearly order the hyperplanes in the arrangement $\mathcal{H}$ as $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$. Mark each edge $x \prec y$ in the Hasse diagram of the lattice L with the smallest (in the given linear order) hyperplane H such that intersecting x with H gives y. That is,

$$\lambda(x, y) = \min\{i \,:\, x \cap H_i = y\}.$$

For a maximal chain $c = \{\hat{0} = x_0 \prec x_1 \prec \cdots \prec x_n = \hat{1}\}$ in the intersection lattice L define its *descent set* $D(c)$ by

$$D(c) = \{i \,:\, \lambda(x_{i-1}, x_i) > \lambda(x_i, x_{i+1})\}.$$

THEOREM 2.3 [Billera et al. 1997, Section 7]. *The $\boldsymbol{ab}$-index of intersection lattice L is given by*

$$\Psi(L) = \sum_c u_{D(c)},$$

where the sum ranges over all maximal chains c in the lattice L.

3. Inequalities for Zonotopes

In this section we will improve Theorem 1.1 for zonotopes. Let C_n denote the n-dimensional cube.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let Z be an n-dimensional zonotope (and more generally, let Z be the dual of the lattice of regions of an oriented matroid). Let q be a* **cd***-monomial of degree k that contains at least one* **d**. *Then the* **cd***-index $\Psi(Z)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\left\langle u \cdot \left(q - \Delta_q \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^k\right) \cdot v \mid \Psi(Z) - \Psi(C_n)\right\rangle \geq 0.$$

for any two **cd***-monomials u and v such that $\deg u + \deg v = n - k$.*

DEFINITION 3.2. *Let q be a* **cd***-monomial of degree k that contains at least one* **d**. *For two* **cd***-polynomials z and w define the order relation $z \preceq_q w$ if the inequality $\left\langle u \cdot (q - \Delta_q \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^k) \cdot v \,|\, w - z\right\rangle \geq 0$ holds for all* **cd***-monomials u and v.*

In this notation Theorem 3.1 becomes $\Psi(Z) \succeq_q \Psi(C_n)$ and that of Theorem 1.1 becomes $\Psi(P) \succeq_q 0$. Note that this order relation differs slightly from the order relation used in [Ehrenborg 2005].

LEMMA 3.3. *Let z and w be nonnegative* **cd***-polynomials such that $z \succeq_q 0$ and $w \succeq_q 0$. Then we have $z \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \cdot w \succeq_q 0$.*

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that z and w are homogeneous polynomials. We would like to prove that

$$\left\langle u \cdot (q - \Delta_q \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^k) \cdot v \mid z \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \cdot w\right\rangle \geq 0,$$

for all **cd**-monomials u and v such that $\deg u + \deg v = \deg(z\boldsymbol{d}w) - k$, where k is the degree of q. We do this in three cases. The first case is $\deg(u\boldsymbol{c}^k) \leq \deg z$. Try to factor $v = v_1 \cdot v_2$ such that $\deg(u\boldsymbol{c}^k v_1) = \deg z$. If such factoring is not possible, both sides of the inequality are equal to zero. If factoring is possible then $\left\langle u(q - \Delta_q \boldsymbol{c}^k)v \,|\, z\boldsymbol{d}w\right\rangle = \left\langle u(q - \Delta_q \boldsymbol{c}^k)v_1 \,|\, z\right\rangle \cdot \langle v_2 \,|\, \boldsymbol{d}w\rangle \geq 0$. The second case is $\deg u \geq \deg(z\boldsymbol{d})$, which is symmetric to the first case.

The third is $\deg(u\boldsymbol{c}^k) > \deg z$ and $\deg u < \deg(z\boldsymbol{d})$. Since z and w have nonnegative coefficients we have $\langle uqv \,|\, z\boldsymbol{d}w\rangle \geq 0$. Moreover, $\left\langle u\boldsymbol{c}^k v \,|\, z\boldsymbol{d}w\right\rangle = 0$. This completes the third case. □

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let Z be an n-dimensional zonotope and let Z' be the zonotope obtained by taking the Minkowski sum of Z with a line segment in the affine span of Z. Then we have $\Psi(Z') \succeq_q \Psi(Z)$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ be the associated hyperplane arrangements and let H be the new hyperplane. Let $\mathcal{H}'$ inherit the linear order of $\mathcal{H}$ with the new hyperplane H inserted at the end of the linear order. Similarly, let L and L' be the corresponding intersection lattices. Observe that every maximal chain in L is also a maximal chain in L'. Also observe that there is no maximal chain in L' whose last label is H. Hence the difference in the **ab**-indices between the two intersection lattices is

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(L') - \Psi(L) &= \sum_c u_{D(c)} \\ &= \sum_{\hat{0}<x\prec y} \Psi([\hat{0},x]) \cdot \boldsymbol{ab} \cdot \Psi([y,\hat{1}]) + \sum_{\hat{0}=x\prec y} \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \Psi([y,\hat{1}]),\end{aligned}$$

where the sum on the first line is over all maximal chains c containing the label H and the sums on the second line are over edges $x \prec y$ in the Hasse diagram of L' having the label H. Applying the map $w \longmapsto \omega(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot w)$ we obtain

$$\Psi(Z')-\Psi(Z) = \sum_{\hat{0}<x\prec y} \omega(\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\Psi([\hat{0},x]))\cdot 2\boldsymbol{d}\cdot\omega(\Psi([y,\hat{1}]))+\sum_{\hat{0}\prec y} 2\boldsymbol{d}\cdot\omega(\Psi([y,\hat{1}])). \quad (3.1)$$

The term $\omega(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \Psi([\hat{0},x]))$ is the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index of a zonotope and hence is nonnegative in the order $\succeq_q$ by Theorem 1.1. Similarly, the term $\omega(\Psi([y,\hat{1}]))$ is one half of the sum of $\boldsymbol{cd}$-indices of the vertex figures of a zonotope and hence is also $\succeq_q$-nonnegative. The result now follows by Lemma 3.3 and the property that the order $\succeq_q$ is preserved under addition. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Observe that any n-dimensional zonotope is obtained from the n-dimensional cube C_n by Minkowski adding line segments. Thus the result follows from Proposition 3.4. □

The second improvement of the zonotopal inequalities is when comparing the coefficients of $\boldsymbol{c}^k v$ and qv, that is, when u is equal to 1. Let $\square_q$ denote the coefficient of the monomial q in the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index of the k-dimensional cube C_k, that is, $\square_q = \langle q \,|\, \Psi(C_k)\rangle$. For ease in notation, we introduce a second order relation.

Definition 3.5. *Let q be a $\boldsymbol{cd}$-monomial of degree k that contains at least one $\boldsymbol{d}$ and let z and w be two $\boldsymbol{cd}$-polynomials. Define the order relation $z \preceq'_q w$ on the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-polynomials z and w by $\big\langle (q - \square_q \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^k) \cdot v \,|\, w - z \big\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\boldsymbol{cd}$-monomials v.*

Theorem 3.6. *Let Z be an n-dimensional zonotope (and more generally, let Z be the dual of the lattice of regions of an oriented matroid). Let q be a $\boldsymbol{cd}$-monomial of degree k that contains at least one $\boldsymbol{d}$. Then the $\boldsymbol{cd}$-index $\Psi(Z)$ satisfies the inequality $\Psi(Z) \succeq'_q \Psi(C_n)$. That is, for all $\boldsymbol{cd}$-monomials v of degree $n-k$ we have*

$$\big\langle (q - \square_q \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^k) \cdot v \mid \Psi(Z) - \Psi(C_n) \big\rangle \geq 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.6 consists of the following lemma and two propositions.

Lemma 3.7. *Let z and w be two nonnegative $\boldsymbol{cd}$-polynomials such that $z \succeq'_q 0$. Then we have $z \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \cdot w \succeq'_q 0$. Furthermore if $\deg q \leq \deg z$ we have that $z \cdot w \succeq'_q 0$.*

Proof. We want to show that $\big\langle (q - \square_q \boldsymbol{c}^k) v \,|\, z\boldsymbol{d}w \big\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\boldsymbol{cd}$-monomials v, where $k = \deg q$. Consider first the case when $k \leq \deg z$. Try to write $v = v_1 \cdot v_2$

such that $k + \deg v_1 = \deg z$. If this is not possible both sides are equal to zero. If this is possible we have $\left\langle (q - \Box_q \boldsymbol{c}^k) v \,|\, z\boldsymbol{d}w \right\rangle = \left\langle (q - \Box_q \boldsymbol{c}^k) v_1 \,|\, z \right\rangle \cdot \langle v_2 \,|\, \boldsymbol{d}w \rangle \geq 0$. The second case is $k > \deg z$. Then right away we have $\left\langle \boldsymbol{c}^k v \,|\, z\boldsymbol{d}w \right\rangle = 0$. Also $\langle qv \,|\, z\boldsymbol{d}w \rangle \geq 0$, since both z and w have nonnegative coefficients. The second statement of the lemma is proved by similar reasoning, where there is only the case $\left\langle (q - \Box_q \boldsymbol{c}^k) v \,|\, zw \right\rangle = \left\langle (q - \Box_q \boldsymbol{c}^k) v_1 \,|\, z \right\rangle \cdot \langle v_2 \,|\, w \rangle \geq 0$. □

Proposition 3.8. *The* ***cd****-index of the n-dimensional cube C_n satisfies*

$$\Psi(C_n) \succeq'_q 0.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on n. Observe that when $n < \deg q$ there is nothing to prove. When $n = \deg q$ the result is directly true. The induction step is based on the Purtill recursion for the ***cd***-index of the n-dimensional cube; see [Ehrenborg and Readdy 1996; Purtill 1993] or [Ehrenborg and Readdy 1998, Proposition 4.2]:

$$\Psi(C_{n+1}) = \Psi(C_n) \cdot \boldsymbol{c} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{n-i} \cdot \binom{n}{i} \cdot \Psi(C_i) \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \Psi(\Sigma_{n-i-1}).$$

By Lemma 3.7 we observe that all the terms in this expression are greater than 0 in the order $\succeq'_q$. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let Z be an n-dimensional zonotope and let Z' be the zonotope obtained by taking the Minkowski sum of Z with a line segment in the affine span of Z. Assume that all zonotopes W of dimension $n-1$ and less satisfy the relation $0 \preceq'_q \Psi(W)$. Then the order relation $\Psi(Z) \preceq'_q \Psi(Z')$ holds.*

Proof. The proof follows the same outline as the proof of Proposition 3.4. By Lemma 3.7 each term in equation (3.1) is nonnegative in the order $\preceq'_q$. Since the property of being nonnegative is preserved under addition, the result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 3.6. We work by induction. The case $n = 0$ is straightforward. For the induction step assume that every zonotope W of dimension k less than n satisfies the inequality $\Psi(C_k) \preceq'_q \Psi(W)$. Especially, we know that the ***cd***-index of a lower dimensional zonotope is nonnegative in the order $\preceq'_q$. Thus by Proposition 3.9 we know that $\Psi(Z) \preceq'_q \Psi(Z')$ holds for n-dimensional zonotopes. Now the theorem follows from Propositions 3.8. □

4. Concluding Remarks

In the view of the lifting technique in [Ehrenborg 2005], it is natural to consider the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.1. *Let H be a* ***cd****-polynomial homogeneous of degree k such that $\langle H \mid \Psi(P) \rangle \geq 0$ for all k-dimensional polytopes P. Then for all n-dimensional* *zonotopes (and more generally, the dual of the lattice of regions of an oriented matroid) the inequality*

$$\big\langle u \cdot H \cdot v \mid \Psi(Z) - \Psi(C_n)\big\rangle \geq 0$$

holds for all ***cd****-monomials u and v such that the sum of their degrees is $n-k$, u does not end with* $\boldsymbol{c}$ *and v does not begin with* $\boldsymbol{c}$.

Conjecture 4.1 is the zonotopal analogue of Conjecture 6.1 in [Ehrenborg 2005]. Theorem 3.1 is the verification of Conjecture 4.1 in the case when $H = q - \Delta_q \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^k$. Moreover, in the light of Theorem 3.6 we also suggest the next conjecture.

CONJECTURE 4.2. *Let H be a* ***cd****-polynomial homogeneous of degree k such that for all k-dimensional zonotopes Z (and more generally, the dual of the lattice of regions of an oriented matroid) the inequality $\langle H \mid \Psi(Z) - \Psi(C_k)\rangle \geq 0$ holds. Then for all n-dimensional zonotopes (oriented matroids) the inequality*

$$\big\langle H \cdot v \mid \Psi(Z) - \Psi(C_n)\big\rangle \geq 0$$

holds for all ***cd****-monomials v of degree $n-k$.*

There are other natural questions that arise. For instance, is there a way to interpolate between Theorems 3.1 and 3.6? Such an interpolation would let the factor vary between the constants Δ_q and $\Box_q$, depending on the degree of the monomial u. Another inequality to consider is the following multiplicative version of Theorem 3.1:

CONJECTURE 4.3. *The* ***cd****-index of a zonotope Z (and more generally, the dual of the lattice of regions of an oriented matroid) satisfies the inequality*

$$\frac{\big\langle uqv \,|\, \Psi(Z)\big\rangle}{\big\langle u\boldsymbol{c}^k v \,|\, \Psi(Z)\big\rangle} \geq \frac{\big\langle uqv \,|\, \Psi(C_n)\big\rangle}{\big\langle u\boldsymbol{c}^k v \,|\, \Psi(C_n)\big\rangle}.$$

More linear inequalities for the flag f-vector of zonotopes can be obtained by the Kalai convolution [1988]. That is, if the two inequalities $\langle H_1 \,|\, \Psi(Z)\rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle H_2 \,|\, \Psi(P)\rangle \geq 0$ hold for all m-dimensional zonotopes, respectively all n-dimensional polytopes, then the inequality $\langle H_1 * H_2 \,|\, \Psi(Z)\rangle \geq 0$ holds for all $(m+n+1)$-dimensional zonotopes. For an explicit description of the convolution on ***cd***-polynomials, see [Ehrenborg 2005, Proposition 2.2].

Finally, another class of linear inequalities for the flag f-vector of zonotopes have been obtained by Varchenko and Liu; see [Fukuda et al. 1991; Liu 1995; Varchenko 1988]. Recently, this class has been sharpened by Stenson [2003].

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the MIT Mathematics Department, where this research was initiated while the author was a Visiting Scholar, for their kind support. The author also thanks Margaret Readdy for many helpful discussions.

References

[Bayer 2001] M. M. Bayer, "Signs in the *cd*-index of Eulerian partially ordered sets", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129**:8 (2001), 2219–2225.

[Bayer and Billera 1985] M. M. Bayer and L. J. Billera, "Generalized Dehn–Sommerville relations for polytopes, spheres and Eulerian partially ordered sets", *Invent. Math.* **79**:1 (1985), 143–157.

[Bayer and Ehrenborg 2000] M. M. Bayer and R. Ehrenborg, "The toric h-vectors of partially ordered sets", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352**:10 (2000), 4515–4531.

[Bayer and Hetyei 2001] M. M. Bayer and G. Hetyei, "Flag vectors of Eulerian partially ordered sets", *European J. Combin.* **22**:1 (2001), 5–26.

[Bayer and Klapper 1991] M. M. Bayer and A. Klapper, "A new index for polytopes", *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **6**:1 (1991), 33–47.

[Billera and Ehrenborg 2000] L. J. Billera and R. Ehrenborg, "Monotonicity of the cd-index for polytopes", *Math. Z.* **233**:3 (2000), 421–441.

[Billera and Hetyei 2000] L. J. Billera and G. Hetyei, "Linear inequalities for flags in graded partially ordered sets", *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **89**:1 (2000), 77–104.

[Billera and Liu 2000] L. J. Billera and N. Liu, "Noncommutative enumeration in graded posets", *J. Algebraic Combin.* **12**:1 (2000), 7–24.

[Billera et al. 1997] L. J. Billera, R. Ehrenborg, and M. Readdy, "The c-2d-index of oriented matroids", *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **80**:1 (1997), 79–105.

[Billera et al. 1998] L. J. Billera, R. Ehrenborg, and M. Readdy, "The *cd*-index of zonotopes and arrangements", pp. 23–40 in *Mathematical essays in honor of Gian-Carlo Rota* (Cambridge, MA, 1996), edited by B. E. Sagan and R. P. Stanley, Progr. Math. **161**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.

[Björner 1980] A. Björner, "Shellable and Cohen–Macaulay partially ordered sets", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **260**:1 (1980), 159–183.

[Ehrenborg 2001] R. Ehrenborg, "k-Eulerian posets", *Order* **18**:3 (2001), 227–236.

[Ehrenborg 2005] R. Ehrenborg, "Lifting inequalities for polytopes", *Adv. Math.* **193** (2005), 205–222.

[Ehrenborg and Fox 2003] R. Ehrenborg and H. Fox, "Inequalities for **cd**-indices of joins and products of polytopes", *Combinatorica* **23**:3 (2003), 427–452.

[Ehrenborg and Readdy 1996] R. Ehrenborg and M. Readdy, "The **r**-cubical lattice and a generalization of the **cd**-index", *European J. Combin.* **17**:8 (1996), 709–725.

[Ehrenborg and Readdy 1998] R. Ehrenborg and M. Readdy, "Coproducts and the *cd*-index", *J. Algebraic Combin.* **8**:3 (1998), 273–299.

[Ehrenborg and Readdy 2002] R. Ehrenborg and M. Readdy, "Homology of Newtonian coalgebras", *European J. Combin.* **23**:8 (2002), 919–927.

[Fukuda et al. 1991] K. Fukuda, S. Saito, and A. Tamura, "Combinatorial face enumeration in arrangements and oriented matroids", *Discrete Appl. Math.* **31**:2 (1991), 141–149.

[Kalai 1987] G. Kalai, "Rigidity and the lower bound theorem, I", *Invent. Math.* **88**:1 (1987), 125–151.

[Kalai 1988] G. Kalai, "A new basis of polytopes", *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **49**:2 (1988), 191–209.

[Karu 2001] K. Karu, "Hard Lefschetz theorem for nonrational polytopes", Technical report, 2001. Available at math.AG/0112087.

[Liu 1995] N. Liu, *Algebraic and combinatorial methods for face enumeration in polytopes*, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 1995.

[Purtill 1993] M. Purtill, "André permutations, lexicographic shellability and the *cd*-index of a convex polytope", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **338**:1 (1993), 77–104.

[Stanley 1974] R. P. Stanley, "Finite lattices and Jordan-Hölder sets", *Algebra Universalis* **4** (1974), 361–371.

[Stanley 1986] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics*, vol. I, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Monterey, CA, 1986.

[Stanley 1987] R. Stanley, "Generalized H-vectors, intersection cohomology of toric varieties, and related results", pp. 187–213 in *Commutative algebra and combinatorics* (Kyoto, 1985), edited by M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **11**, North-Holland, Amsterdam and Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1987.

[Stanley 1994a] R. P. Stanley, "Flag f-vectors and the *cd*-index", *Math. Z.* **216**:3 (1994), 483–499.

[Stanley 1994b] R. P. Stanley, "A survey of Eulerian posets", pp. 301–333 in *Polytopes: abstract, convex and computational* (Scarborough, ON, 1993), edited by T. Bisztriczky et al., NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. **440**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.

[Stenson 2003] C. Stenson, "Families of tight inequalities for polytopes", Technical report, 2003.

[Varchenko 1988] A. N. Varchenko, "The numbers of faces of a configuration of hyperplanes", *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **302**:3 (1988), 527–530. In Russian; translation in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **38** (1989), 291–295.

Richard Ehrenborg
Department of Mathematics
University of Kentucky
Lexington, KY 40506
United States
jrge@ms.uky.edu